



This project has received funds from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 727520



An integrated assessment of the sustainability and resilience of EU farming systems

& next steps in research

Pytrik Reidsma, Wim Paas, Peter Feindt, Miranda Meuwissen & all SURE-Farm partners

FSD7 workshop, 30 Oct - 4 Nov 2022, Marrakech

Farming systems face different challenges













Four processes in adaptive cycle







Integrated assessment



* Qualitiative methods:

workshops,

interviews

* Quantitative

methods: system dynamics modelling, ecosystem services assessment, farm structural change modelling



This project has received funds from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 727520

Meuwissen et al., 2019, Agricultural Systems

Methods employed in SURE-Farm

Method	No. of FS (and total no. of participants)	. of FS (and Steps of the SURE-Farm framework covere al no. of rticipants)				
		1	2	3	4	5
Qualitative methods						
1. Scenarios linked to Eur-Agri-SSPs ⁶	-		Х	Х		
2. Survey (F)	11 (996)		Х	Х	Х	Х
3. Learning interviews (F)	11 (130)		Х	Х	Х	Х
4. Narratives (F)	5 (46)	Х	Х		Х	
5. Interviews with households (F, HH)	11 (169)		Х	Х	Х	Х
6. Focus groups on risk management (FS)	11 (78)	Х	Х		Х	
7. Workshops on current resilience (FS) ⁷	11 (184)	Х	Х	X	Х	Х
8. Assessment of policy instruments (FS)	11 (56)	Х	Х	X	Х	
9. Bottom-up analysis of policy (FS)	5 (135)		Х	X	Х	Х
10. Co-design of policy options (FS)	7 (71)		Х		Х	Х
11. Workshops on resilience in future (FS)	9 (130)	Х	Х	X	Х	Х
12. Qualitative system dynamics (FS)	5	Х	Х	X	Х	Х
13. Digital co-creation platform (F, FS)	- (27)	Х	Х	X	Х	Х
14. Workshops on the enabling environment	11 (tbd)	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Quantitative methods						
15. Data analysis of ecosystem services (FS)	10			X		Х
16. Modelling of ecosystem services (FS)	11		Х	X	Х	
17. Quantitative system dynamics (FS)	2	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
18. Statistical analysis of capacities (F)	Europe				Х	Х
19. Statistical analysis of functions (F)	1		Х	Х	Х	Х
20. Simulation of structural change (FS)	2 Meuwissen	et al., X 022, C	Ch1 b X ok	Х	Х	Х
04. Essential modelling of viels more served (E			v	v	v	

Step 1. Resilience of what? Farming system







Accumulating challenges cause farming systems to approach critical thresholds

- * Many FS are perceived to be close to critical thresholds
 - low economic viability leading to farmer exits, making it hard to maintain the social fabric, natural resources and biodiversity





This project has received funds from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 727520

Paas et al., 2021, Journal of Rural Studies; Paas et al., 2021, Ecological Indicators



10

Past strategies mainly focused on remaining economically viable, leading to a decline in the provision of public goods



- * Importance (size):
 - Economic viability (farmers)
 - Food production (all)
 - Natural resources (other stakeholders)
- * Performance (level)
 - Food production high
 - Economic viability moderate
 - Public goods lower
 - Variability among FS & stakeholders



11

Reidsma et al., 2020, Eurochoices

The resilience of the farming systems is perceived as low to moderate, with robustness prevailing over transformability



- Presence of resilience attributes & historical dynamics of main functions
 - FS generally robust (although close to critical thresholds)
 - trade-offs with transformability (into desired directions)
 - adaptability mostly employed for keeping stability and realizing (slow) incremental improvements
- * However, adaptation or even transformation is necessary



*



Strategies from the past are not sufficient to bring the desired social, economic and environmental change

- * Past strategies
 - kept farming systems robust, but adaptation and transformation are required
 - have led to the erosion of the social fabric and reduced the maintenance of natural resources and biodiversity
 - have **limits to success** (e.g., increasing farm size and intensity)



Desired alternative systems: actor-driven and -supported

					Case st	udies					
Category	BG-Arable	NL-Arable	UK-Arable	DE-	RO-Mixed	ES-Sheep	FR-Beef	SE-Poultry	PL-Horti-	IT-Hazelnut	Tota
				Arable&Mixed					culture		μ ¹ (n)
Intensifica-			1	Intensification		Semi-intensive		Large farms			3
tion											
Specializa-					Commercial		Only-for-		Horticulture		3
tion					specialization		export		farming		
					of family		production				
					mixed farms						
Technology	Innovation	Precision				Hi-tech		Robots	Shelter	Technological	6
	and	agriculture				extensive			farming	innovation	
	technology								(under		
									cover)		
Product	Processing						Production			Product	3
valorization	and increasing						only for the			valorization	
	added value						French				
							market				
Collabora-	Collaboration	Collaboration	1		Cooperation /					í	3
tion		& water			multifunctio-						
					nality						
Attractive			1	Better societal	1		Development			Sustained	3
countryside				appreciation			of tourism			demand (high	
										and stable	
										prices)	
Diversifica-	Crop diversifi-	Alternative	Likely		Alternative			Self-			5
tion	cation	crops	system		crops /			sufficiency			
					livestock			fodder			
Organic /		Nature-	Desirable	Organic	Organic				Local organic	Eco-friendly	6
nature		inclusive	system	farming	agriculture				farming	agriculture	
friendly											
Total (n)	42	4	2	3	4	2	3	3	3	4	32

Paas et al. in D5.5 Accatino et al., 2020; D5.6 Reidsma et al., 2020; Meuwissen et al., 2022 book

Sustainability and resilience can be improved when strategies improve multiple functions and attributes at once



- * From strategies
 - enhancing mainly 'reasonably profitable'
 - to 'coupled with local and natural capital'
- * Strengthening
 - ecological processes
 - o stakeholder collaboration
 - o institutional environment
 - while ensuring 'reasonably profitable'



This project has received funds from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 727520

D5.6 Reidsma et al., 2020; Attributes adapted from Cabell and Oelofse, 2012



15

Desired alternative systems are diverse but only compatible with the sustainability scenario

		Average compatibility score							
Category future systems	Future systems [#]	SSP1 "Sustain- able"	SSP2 "Established "	SSP3 "Separated"	SSP4 "Unequal"	SSP5 "High- tech"			
Status quo	9	0.55	0.31	-0.59	0.15	0.29			
Intensification	3	0.67	0.48	-0.29	0.21	0.28			
Specialization	2	0.50	0.36	-0.67	0.24	0.37			
Technology Product	6	0.63	0.32	-0.50	0.22	0.26			
valorization	2	0.68	0.26	-0.80	0.01	0.22			
Collaboration Attractive	3	0.63	0.26	-0.76	0.16	0.24			
countryside	2	0.48	0.44	-0.59	0.28	0.50			
Diversification Organic / nature	5	0.72	0.26	-0.47	0.07	0.15			
friendly	6	0.72	0.37	-0.74	0.11	0.21			
Average ¹		0.63	0.33	-0.59	0.15	0.26			

* EU and national policies

- o should be directed at "unfolding" the "agriculture on sustainable paths" scenario
- while stimulating macro-level institutional, social, economic and technological developments that seem lacking in this specific scenario





Policies should be based on a long-term vision, ensuring economic viability of farming systems that ensure the provision of public goods



- * All involved actors inside and outside the farming system need to collaborate in order to make a change towards business models that tackle long-term challenges
- * A matter of perspective: it should be clarified that such policies are also 'for' farmers





Lessons learned (1/2)

- * Resilience capacities must include anticipation
 - besides robustness, adaptability and transformability
- * While resilience is a latent characteristic of a system, resilience attributes and

critical thresholds are good predictors of resilience

- o diversity, system reserves, openness, adequate feedbacks, modularity
- 22 FS specific attributes, with few core attributes
- * Vision, leadership, shared learning and experimentation, and agility are

important resilience attributes

specifically for transformability



This project has received funds from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 727520

Meuwissen et al., 2021, in Agricultural Systems

18

Feindt et al., 2022 (Ch20) in Meuwissen et al., 2022

Lessons learned (2/2)

- * General resilience in farming systems requires more than financial buffer resources
 - o which has been and is main focus of FS actors
- * Non-resilience is difficult to study
 - o ceased farm operations, supply chains, etc. can n longer be studied
- * Resilience is context-specific, and so are resilience needs
 - o required capacities differ across locations and over time
- * Resilience capacities, needs and strategies differ across scales
 - o farm, farming system, enabling environment





Limitations requiring further research (1/2)

- * Scope limited to farming systems, while an even broader approach is needed
- * Framework could strengthen the critical assessment of the functions provided by farming systems
 - o perceived importance and level of performance assessed; in most desirable way?
- * Not yet a definitive set of a small number of indicators to measure the resilience of farming systems
- * The concept of the adaptive cycle turned out to be difficult to apply to a system marked by fragmented (polycentric) agency and resources
 - many FS in conservation phase:
 - o is next phase collapse and reorganization
 - OR can deliberate transformation be achieved by smaller, shorter and more manageable cycles?



This project has received funds from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 727520



20

Limitations requiring further research (2/2)

- * The resilience concept requires further methodological integration
 - o mixed methods needed to understand multi-faceted concept
 - o quantitative methods have difficulty to consider all aspects
- * There is a need to reflect and address more systematically how actors understand resilience
 - robustness > adaptability & transformability
- * There is a need to develop more thorough foundations of resilience governance, at

least with regard to farming systems

 an enabling environment is needed to supervise the system's direction of development and create suitable context conditions







SUSTAINABLE RESILIENT EU FARMING SYSTEM

Partners:

Policy brief D5.7: https://www.surefarmproject.eu/wordpress/wpcontent/uploads/2021/03/D5.7-Policy-Brief-Resilience-of-FS-under-current-conditions-andfuture-scenarios.pdf

Book: Meuwissen et al. (2022), on-line, open access

Coordinated by:



UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN





IRWIR PAN Polish Academy of Sciences Institute of Rural and Agricultural Development

GÖTTINGEN

GEORG-AUGUST-UNIVERSITÄT

countryside and community research institute



INRA



Leibniz Institute of Agricultural Development in Transition Economies

ETH zürich





KU LEUVEN

Instituut voor Landbouwen Visserijonderzoek









SUSTAINABLE RESILIENT EU FARMING SYSTEMS

www.surefarmproject.eu